

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450

•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,627	01/24/2002	Michael T. Rossi	A7785	3614
7:	590 08/07/2003			
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	nnia Avenue, NW C 20037-3213		NASRI, JAVAID H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2839		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)	1.1
	10/053,627	ROSSI ET AL.	er
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Javaid Nasri	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·—	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims			nents is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,17,24 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3-16, 18-23 and 25-27</u> is/are objected	to ·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers	4		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2003</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		onlication)
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			ophodion).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •		
Attachment(s)	.		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). al Patent Application (PTO-1	

Application/Control Number: 10/053,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) On page 10, line 9, change "20" to -- 18 --.
 - b) On page 10, line 14, change "12" to -- 18 --.
 - c) On page 10, line 24, change "202" to -- 102 --.
 - d) On page 10, line 24, change "FIG. 2" to -- FIG. 3 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because:
 - a) Numeral "104" is not shown in any figure per specification page 10, line 25.
 - b) Numeral "114" is not shown in any figure per specification page 11, line

 1.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/053,627

Art Unit: 2839

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

4. Claims 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a) In claim 28, line 2, it is not clear an optical unit is disposed in what?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheu (cited in IDS '939).

Sheu discloses a buffer tube (14), an optical unit (11) disposed in the buffer tube, the optical unit including at least one optical fiber and at least first and second gel layers interposed between the buffer tube and the optical unit, the first and second gel layers (see note below) have different rheological properties (see abstract), the different rheological properties of the first and second gel layers include at least one of viscosity and yield stress, the first gel layer is adapted to maintain the optical unit in an axial center position of the buffer tube,

Art Unit: 2839

Note: In claim 1, the layers are not specifically specified.

7. Claims 1, 2, 17 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sheu (*833, cited in IDS).

Sheu discloses a buffer tube (28), an optical unit (25) disposed in the buffer tube, the optical unit including at least one optical fiber and at least first and second gel layers interposed between the buffer tube and the optical unit, the first and second gel layers (see note below) have different rheological properties (see abstract), the different rheological properties of the first and second gel layers include at least one of viscosity and yield stress, the first gel layer is adapted to maintain the optical unit in an axial center position of the buffer tube,

Note: In claim 1, the layers are not specifically specified.

8. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garels ('539, cited in IDS).

Garels discloses an optical unit, optical fiber, a first gel layer (27) disposed around the optical unit, a second gel layer (29) disposed around the first gel layer, the first and second gel layers have different rheological properties including at least one of viscosity and yield stress.

9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keller et al ('880, cited in IDS).

Keller et al discloses an optical unit, optical fiber, a first gel layer (15) disposed around the optical unit, a second gel layer (26) disposed around the first gel layer, the first and second gel layers have different rheological properties including at least one of viscosity and yield stress.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,627 Page 5

Art Unit: 2839

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 3-16, 18-23 and 25-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claims 29-31 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

 The reason for allowance of the claims is the inclusion of the limitation,
- a) For claims 3, 4, 8, 13 and 25-27, the first gel layer surrounds the optical unit, the second gel layer surrounds the first gel layer within the buffer tube, in combination with other limitations in the claim which is not found in the prior art reference of record.
- b) for claim 29, the viscosity of the first gel layer is lower than the viscosity of the second gel layer, in combination with other limitations in the claim which is not found in the prior art reference of record.
- c) for claim 30, the yield stress of the first gel layer is lower than the yield stress of the second gel layer, in combination with other limitations in the claim which is not found in the prior art reference of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,627 Page 6

Art Unit: 2839

d) for claim 31, the viscosity and yield stress of the first gel layer is lower than the viscosity

and yield stress of the second gel layer, in combination with other limitations in the claim

which is not found in the prior art reference of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 13.

examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 703 308 5876. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on 703 308 2710. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular

communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Art Unit: 2839

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Or faxed to: 703-308-7722 or 308-7724 (informal or draft communications should be clearly labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (receptionist)

2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia

Javaid Nasri

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

JN

jhn

August 6, 2003